

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/292,834 04/16/99 MORIGUCHI K P99.0653 **EXAMINER** IM71/0719 WILLIAM E. VAUGHAN HENDRICKSON.S BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO IL 60690-1135 1754 DATE MAILED: 07/19/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or pr ceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	A12 22 N.	A = = 1 = = = + 4 = \		
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ù	
	Examiner		p Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspo	ndence addre	ss
P riod f r Response	7			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FR	OM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto	ry minimum of thirty (30) of from the mailing date of t	days will be consi	dered timely.
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15/3		.		
☐ This action is FINAL .				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 			rits is closed i	in
Disp sition of Claims				
"段 Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrav	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
Ol Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
Claim(s)				
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
☐ S e the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.		
☐ The chariffection is chicated to by the Examiner	i to by the Examiner.			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
	2E I LC C	(₄ 1)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under large large. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the large large. 				
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the Interr 				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 Ir	□ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□N	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948		Other		
Office A	Action Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/292834

Art Unit: 1754

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. The request filed on 7/5/01 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/292834 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tamaki et al. (EP 762,522).

Tamaki teaches on pages 4 and 5 a graphitized material containing 0.1% boron and having a d spacing of .336 or less. Although the surface area and between-closure structures are not recited, the material of Tamaki is deemed to possess them since the d spacing, which represents basic microstructure, is the same as claimed.

Tamaki teaches in the examples a process in which mesophase pitch is carbonized and milled, then contacted with a boron source and heated to graphitization temperatures to make an electrode for lithium batteries.

As the process and utility is (essentially) the same, no differences in the product are seen. The examiner takes Official Notice that making powder is known to require pulverization. The process claims are sufficiently unclear to determine whether any differences in process steps actually exist.

Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takami et al. 6156457.

Takami teaches a boron-graphite electrode in columns 7 and 10, made by mixing a boron source with carbonized pitch. No difference is seen in the number of layer-planes, as the process of making is essentially the same as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/292834

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A) In claim 4, 'elevated speed' is subjective and unclear; as compared to what? If applicant means the speeds of the specification, these should be inserted into the claim.
- B) In claims 1, 5 and 6, 'condition of scraping' is unclear; presumably a scraping step is meant.
- C) In claims 4 and 5 for example, 'graphitization' is misspelled.
- D) In claim 4, 'for graphitization' is unclear. Are these words unnecessary, or does applicant mean that the temperature is 1500 degrees above the graphitization temperature? Perhaps 'graphitizing at greater than 1500 ...' is meant.
- E) In claim 5, the two heating steps appear redundant or contradictory. What is the order of steps? How many heating steps are there? Also, 'the ... carbon' is, strictly speaking, without antecedent basis.

Applicant's arguments filed 5/7/2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The claims are awkwardly phrased and unclear, and not limited to the discharge capacity

argued.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754